



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/747,332	12/22/2000	Kenneth L. Davis	109869-130070	1296

25943 7590 02/09/2005

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C.
PACWEST CENTER, SUITES 1600-1900
1211 SW FIFTH AVENUE
PORTLAND, OR 97204

EXAMINER

PILLAI, NAMITHA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2173

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/747,332

Applicant(s)

DAVIS, KENNETH L.

Examiner

Namitha Pillai

Art Unit

2173

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 September 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1-3, 5- 13 and 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U. S. Patent No. 5,526,478 (Russell, Jr. et al.), herein referred to as Russell.

Referring to claims 1 and 11, Russell discloses a method for detecting a pointer in proximity of a geometry piece of a computer aided design having multimedia associated with the geometry piece of the computer aided design with the cursor separate and movable relative to the computer design, wherein as clearly seen in Figure 4A (reference number 40 and 41) that the geometry piece associated with the multimedia belongs in a computer aided design (column 2, lines 37-39 and lines 44-45 and column 8, lines 1-15). Russell discloses determining in response to detecting the cursor in proximity of the geometry piece, whether multimedia is associated with the geometry piece of the computer aided design (column 12, lines 14-22), wherein there is a determination process involving determining if a multimedia link exists with a distinct geometry of a computer aided design to which a cursor is pointing. Russell also discloses that in response to positive determination that a multimedia is linked with geometry of the computer aided design automatically generating an icon, in this case represented as the markers, with these icons or markers being associated with the geometry piece of the mechanical design for accessing the associated multimedia (column 2, lines 42-45 and reference number 42, Figure

Art Unit: 2173

4A), wherein it is clear that a geometry piece without a multimedia link when activated would not invoke a marker icon to represent the existence of a multimedia item. See column 8, lines 45-50 and column 12, lines 1-30. Russell discloses the step of determining if a activation of a pointer is needed, wherein this determining process would be clearly carried out before the activation and further display of the marker or icon would occur, with step 178 determining and returning to step 172 to carry out icon display if a functionality is to be carried out (Figure 10).

Referring to claims 2 and 12, Russell discloses generating the icon comprising automatically generating a leader line entity from the geometry piece (reference number 42, Figure 4A).

Referring to claims 3 and 13, Russell discloses automatically generating the icon at an end of the leader line entity opposite the geometry piece, as seen by the relation of the reference numbers 41 and 42, to each other in Figure 4A.

Referring to claims 5 and 15, Russell discloses the marker including a means for linking to an application to execute the multimedia (column 8, lines 14- 16).

Referring to claims 6, 16 and 22, Russell discloses determining if a request to associate multimedia with the geometry piece is received, as shown by step 154 on Figure 9, wherein this step determines if an association should be made between a multimedia 51e and a geometry piece (column 11, lines 28-29). Russell then goes to disclose facilitation association between the multimedia and the geometry piece upon determining the request to associate the multimedia with the geometry piece is received (column 11, lines 31-33). Russell discloses then selecting a type of multimedia to be associated with the geometry piece and wherein the markers or icons generated would then be associated or linked with the geometry piece for accessing the

Art Unit: 2173

%associated multimedia (column 11, lines 36-42).

Referring to claims 7 and 17, Russell discloses that receiving the request involves receiving a cursor selection on the geometry piece (column 11, lines 20-22).

Referring to claims 8 and 18, Russell discloses displaying a menu for selection of a type of multimedia to be associated with the geometry piece as shown by reference number 53-55 in Figure 4B, wherein the "Attach" option under each of these types would associate the media type with the selected geometry piece.

Referring to claims 9 and 19, Russell discloses that the types of multimedia comprises receiving the selection of at least one of an audio note, a textual note and an animation note, as shown by reference number 53-55 in Figure 48 and the "Label 1" shown in Figure 5.

Referring to claims 10 and 20, Russell discloses the leader line entity, represented as reference number 41 of Figure 4A, being input by the user (column 11, lines 19-22).

Referring to claim 21, Russell discloses a method for detecting a pointer in proximity of a geometry piece of a computer aided design having multimedia associated with the geometry piece of the computer aided design with the cursor separate and movable relative to the computer design wherein as clearly seen in Figure 4A (reference number 40) that the geometry piece %associated with the multimedia belongs in a computer aided design (column 2, lines 37-39 and lines 44-45 and column 8, lines 1-15). Russell discloses determining in response to detecting the cursor in proximity of the geometry piece, whether multimedia is associated with the geometry piece of the computer aided design (column 12, lines 14-22), where n t e link exists with a distinct geometry of a computer-aided design to which a cursor is pointing.

Art Unit: 2173

Russell also discloses in response to positive determination when a multimedia is linked with a geometry of the computer aided design automatically generating an icon, in this case represented as the markers, with these icons or markers being associated with the geometry's piece of the mechanical design for accessing the associated multimedia (column 2, lines 42-45 and reference number 42, Figure 4A). Russell discloses processor coupled to the machine accessible medium to execute the instructions for carrying out the invention, as disclosed (column 4, lines 25-29, wherein it is clear that a geometry piece without a multimedia link when activated would not invoke a marker icon to represent the existence of a multimedia item. See column 8, lines 45-50. Russell discloses the step of determining if a activation of a pointer is needed, wherein this determining process would be clearly carried out before the activation and further display of the marker or icon would occur, with step 178 determining and returning to step 172 to carry out icon display if a functionality is to be carried out (Figure 10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russell.

Referring to claims 4 and 14, Russell does not disclose that the icon would be generated based on the type of multimedia associated with the geometry piece. Russell does disclose that the shapes of these markers or icons could take any form. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art, at the time of the invention to generate icons corresponding to the type of

Art Unit: 2173

multimedia %associated with the geometry piece. Russell clearly discloses that the types that the icons are represented by can take any form, hence suggesting a shape that is more indicative of the type of media being represented. It would also be more convenient and cause less confusion for the users to determine the type of media that is associated with the geometry by merely looking at the marker or icon at the end of the line. can determine the type of media being represented, thereby providing motivation for such an icon representation to be used. One skilled in the art would have been motivated at the time of the invention to generate icons corresponding to the type of multimedia associated with the geometry piece. This way the user without accessing the file can determine the type of media being represented, thereby providing motivation for such an icon representation to be used. One skilled in the art would have been motivated at the time of the invention to generate icons corresponding to the type of multimedia associated with the geometry piece.

Response to Claim Changes

3. The Examiner acknowledges Applicant's amendments to claims 1, 11 and 21 to better specify the claimed invention. However all claims are rejected under 35 U. S. C. 102 as being previously disclosed in prior art.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 9/15/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to Applicant's arguments that the pointer of Russell is not separate from the cad model displayed in the art. The claims of the present invention merely disclose a pointer,

Art Unit: 2173

wherein whether is it is the pointer (reference number 41) or the pointer (reference number 29) both of Figure 4A, wherein either one or the represents pointers that are moveable with the cad model and are also separate from the cad model as seen with pointer 29. Pointers which can be manipulated by a device are well known in the field of the present invention, and is disclosed in Russell. Further more, the pointer 29 would be used to manipulate all aspects of components displayed in Russell, wherein the user would have to manipulate some device that is represented by the cursor in order to carry out the user interactive functionalities.

With respect to Applicant's arguments that Russell does not disclose determining whether multimedia is associated with a geometry piece in response to detecting a cursor in the proximity of the geometry piece. Russell clearly teaches the reliance of the pointer and its selection of a geometry piece in determining if a media is attached to the piece and activation of the icon (Figure 10).

With respect to Applicant's arguments that Russell does not disclose that in response to a positive determination that multimedia is associated with a geometry piece. Russell discloses the step of determining if a activation of a pointer is needed, wherein this determining process would be clearly carried out before the activation and further display of the marker or icon would occur, with step 178 determining and returning to step 172 to carry out icon display if a functionality is to be carried out (Figure 10).

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2173

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Responses to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington D.C. 20231. If applicant desires to fax a response, central FAX number (703) 872-9306 may be used. NOTE: A Request for Continuation (Rule 60 or 62) cannot be faxed. Please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT" for informal facsimile communications. For after final responses, please label "AFTER FINAL" or "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE" on the document. Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Namitha Pillai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4054. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (571) 272-4048.


All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that

Art Unit: 2173

sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

Namitha Pillai
Assistant Examiner
Art Unit 2173
February 7, 2005



JOHN CABECA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER